

AF
ZFW**REPLY/AMENDMENT
FEE TRANSMITTAL**

Attorney Docket No. 95-469

Application Number 09/955,129

Filing Date September 19, 2001

First Named Inventor TURNER

Group Art Unit 2674

AMOUNT ENCLOSED

\$ 0

Examiner Name

WU, Xiao Min

FEE CALCULATION (fees effective 10/01/2003)

| CLAIMS AS AMENDED | Claims Remaining After Amendment | Highest Number Previously Paid For | Number Extra | Rate | Calculations |
|-----------------------|---|--|------------------|-------------|--------------|
| TOTAL CLAIMS | 48 | 48 | 0 ⁽³⁾ | X \$18.00 = | \$0 |
| INDEPENDENT CLAIMS | 4 | 4 | 0 | X \$86.00 = | \$0 |

Since an Official Action set an original due date of ____, petition is hereby made for an extension to cover the date this reply is filed for which the requisite fee is enclosed (1 month (\$110); 2 months (\$420); 3 months (\$950); 4 months (\$1,480); 5 months (\$2,010)):

If Statutory Disclaimer under Rule 20(d) is enclosed, add fee (\$110)

+

Total of above Calculations = \$0

Reduction by 50% for filing by small entity (37 CFR 1.9, 1.27 & 1.28)

-

TOTAL FEES DUE = \$0

- (1) If entry (1) is less than entry (2), entry (3) is "0".
(2) If entry (2) is less than 20, change entry (2) to "20".
(4) If entry (4) is less than entry (5), entry (6) is "0".
(5) If entry (5) is less than 3, change entry (5) to "3".

METHOD OF PAYMENT☐ Check enclosed as payment.☐ Charge "TOTAL FEES DUE" to the Deposit Account No., below.**AUTHORIZATION**

- ☒ If the above-noted "AMOUNT ENCLOSED" is not correct, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to credit any overpayment or charge any additional fees under 37 CFR 1.16 or 1.17 necessary to maintain pendency of the present application to:

Deposit Account No.:

50-1130

OrderNo.: (Client/Matter)

95-469

SUBMITTED BY: LEON R. TURKEVICH, ESQ.

Typed Name

Leon R. Turkevich

Reg. No.

34,035

Signature

Date

April 5, 2006

Docket No.: 95-469



PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

TURNER et al.

Serial No.: 09/955,129

Filed: September 19, 2001

: **EXPEDITED PROCEDURE UNDER**
: **37 CFR §1.116**

:
: Group Art Unit: 2674

:
: Examiner: WU, Xiao Min

For: ARRANGEMENT FOR ARBITRATION OF DISPLAY INFORMATION FROM
MULTIPLE APPLICATIONS FOR A NETWORK ENABLED DISPLAY DEVICE

RESPONSE AFTER FINAL

MAIL STOP AF

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Final Action mailed February 7, 2006, Applicant hereby submits the following remarks.

Reconsideration and allowance of the above-referenced application are respectfully requested. Claims 1-48 are unchanged and remain pending in the application.

Claims 1-11, 13-23, 25-35, and 37-47 stand rejected under 35 USC §103 in view of U.S. Patent Publication 2003/0026244 by Pietrowicz et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,275,226 to Uchida et al. and U.S. Patent No. 5,712,995 to Cohn. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

As described in detail below, the §103 rejection is improper because it fails to provide any evidence that establishes that one skilled in the art would have been motivated to modify the primary reference (Pietrowicz et al), to include the teachings of the second and third references (Uchida et

Response After Final filed April 5, 2006
Appln. No. 09/955,129
Page 1